## **Can**  $1^z = 2$  **for Complex** z?

Kirk T. McDonald

*Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544*

(July 22, 2022)

In the equation  $1^z = 2$ , we can follow Euler to write  $1 = e^{2\pi i}$ , and take the logarithm of both sides of the equation,  $1^z = (e^{2\pi i})^z = e^{2\pi i z} = 2$ , yielding  $2\pi i z = \ln 2$ , and  $z = -i \ln(2)/2\pi$ . Of course, we also expect that  $1^z = 1$  is valid for this z.

Since  $1^{1/2} = \pm 1$ ,  $1^x$  can have multiple values for real x. Hence, we should not exclude multiple values of  $1^z$  for complex z.

However, we note a somewhat related "paradox", given by Clausen in  $1827<sup>1</sup>$ , that supposing  $(e^x)^y = e^{xy}$  holds for complex numbers x and y leads to the conclusion that  $1 = e^{-4\pi^2 n^2}$ for any integer  $n<sup>2</sup>$ 

A simpler version of Clausen's "paradox" was noted (Comment 3, Nov. 17, 2020) at https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/3911762/again-on-clausen-paradox

If we raise the equation  $e^{2\pi i} = 1$  to the power i we have  $(e^{2\pi i})^i = e^{-2\pi} = 1^i = 1$ , supposing that 1 to any power is just 1.

A lesson here is that we should avoid using  $(e^x)^y = e^{xy}$  when considering 1<sup>z</sup>. As explained at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exponentiation#Failure\_of\_power\_and\_logarithm\_identities, we should instead use  $(e^x)^y = e^{y \ln e^x}$ , being careful to note that for a complex number  $z = r e^{i\theta}$  with r and  $\theta$  real,  $\ln z = \ln r + i(\theta + 2n\pi)^3$ 

This leads us to reconsider the process of complex exponentiation.<sup>4</sup> For real numbers a,  $b, c$  and  $d$ , we can write,

$$
(a+ib) = (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2} e^{i \arg(a+ib)} = (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2} e^{i\theta}, \text{ where } \arg(a+ib) = \tan^{-1}(b/a) = \theta, \quad (1)
$$

$$
(a+ib)^{c+id} = ((a^2 + b^2)^{1/2} e^{i\theta})^{c+id} = (a^2 + b^2)^{(c+id)/2} e^{(c+id)\ln e^{i\theta}}
$$

$$
= (a^2 + b^2)^{c/2} (a^2 + b^2)^{id/2} e^{ic(\theta+2n\pi)} e^{-d(\theta+2n\pi)}
$$

$$
= (a^2 + b^2)^{c/2} e^{id\ln(a^2+b^2)/2} e^{ic(\theta+2n\pi)} e^{-d(\theta+2n\pi)}
$$

$$
= (a^2 + b^2)^{c/2} e^{-d(\theta+2n\pi)} \left\{ \cos \left[ d\ln(a^2 + b^2)/2 + c(\theta+2n\pi) \right] \right\}.
$$
 (2)

For  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 0$ ,  $c = 0$  and  $d = -\ln(2)/2\pi$ , we have,<sup>5,6</sup>

$$
1^{-i\ln(2)/2\pi} = 1 \cdot e^{\ln(2)(\theta + 2n\pi)/2\pi} \cos(0). \tag{3}
$$

 ${}^{3}$ Thus,  $(e^{2\pi i})^i = e^{i \ln e^{2\pi i}} = e^{i \cdot 2n\pi i} = e^{-2n\pi} = 1^i = 1$ , which is valid only for for  $n = 0$ .

<sup>4</sup>This is reviewed at, for example, https://mathworld.wolfram.com/ComplexExponentiation.html and at the Wikipedia Exponentiation page, but using  $(e^x)^y = e^{xy}$ .

<sup>5</sup>For  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 0$ ,  $c = 0$  and  $d = 1$ , we have  $1^{i} = e^{-2n\pi}$ , which now agrees with the result  $(e^{2\pi i})^{i} = e^{-2n\pi}$ in footnote 3 for any *n*.

<sup>6</sup>For  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 1$ ,  $c = 0$  and  $d = 1$ , we have  $i^i = e^{-\pi/2 - 2n\pi}$ , as discussed at http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/itoi.pdf

<sup>1</sup>T. Clausen, *Aufgabe*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **<sup>2</sup>**, 286 (1827),

http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/mechanics/clausen\_jram\_2\_286\_27.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Clausen's argument was that since we can write  $1 = e^{2n\pi i}$  for any integer *n*, we can also write  $e =$  $e^{1+2n\pi i}$ , and then  $e = (e^{1+2n\pi i})^{1+2n\pi i} = e^{(1+2n\pi i)^2} = e^{1+4n\pi i-4n^2\pi^2} = e^{1+4n\pi i}e^{-4n^2\pi^2} = e \cdot e^{-4n^2\pi^2}$ , and finally,  $1 = e^{-4n^2\pi^2}$ , which is "absurd" (both in German and in English).

Now,  $arg(1)$  can be  $2m\pi$  for any integer m, so eq. (3) can take the form,

$$
e^{N\ln(2)} = 2^N,\tag{4}
$$

for any integer  $N = m + n$ . In particular, we can have  $1^{-i \ln(2)/2\pi} = 2$ . On the other hand, we can take  $N = 0$ , yielding  $1^{-i\ln(2)/2\pi} = 1$ .

In general, there is a countably infinite set of solutions to  $1^z = w$  for any nonzero complex number w, including  $1^z = 1$ . We may wish to say that 1 is the principal value of  $1^z$ , but we should be aware of the existence of other values.

*This puzzler was posed to the author by Derek Abbott. It has been popularized at* Can  $1^x = 2$ ? (*Jan. 7, 2021*), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9wJ9YBwHXGI *Discussion of it appeared in 2012 at*

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/233184/is-1-raised-to-any-complex-power-equal-to-1#: