

MEMORANDUM

A/To: Charles Hill, RSO AB
 cc: A. Fabich AB-ATB, H. Haseroth, AB-ABP, P. Cennini, AB-DSO
 De/From: Th. Otto, SC-RP
 Conc.: Radiological consequences of CNGS beam tuning for TT2A

In 2002, a study of the shielding wall between TT2 and TT2A was performed [1]. A proton beam extracted from the PS and directed towards TT10 may hit this wall. It was found, that under worst-case conditions, a lost beam with a momentum of $p=26$ GeV/c and a proton intensity of $2.3 \cdot 10^{13}$ during a super-cycle of 14.4 s duration could hit the separation wall directly. It would expose personnel in TT2A to an effective dose of $E = 250$ mSv during a single super-cycle. Consequently, in the following shutdown, 160 cm of additional iron shielding was installed in tunnel TT2 close to dump D3. The additional shielding provides an attenuation factor of $\exp(160/17.8) = 8000$ and the effective dose in a supercycle is now limited to $30 \mu\text{Sv}$. In addition, an interlock coupled to a radiation monitor will stop the extraction from the PS once a dose rate of $100 \mu\text{Sv/h}$ during 15 min (or $25 \mu\text{Sv}$ in a single pulse) is measured in TT2A. This interlock is generated with a dedicated, high-reliability hardware.

A possible scenario in 2006 is a full beam loss on the reinforced shielding wall between TT2 and TT2A during tuning of a CNGS beam. In this condition, $3 \cdot 10^{13}$ protons at $p = 14$ GeV/c would be extracted every 1.2 s from the PS. In order to judge if and how much additional shielding is necessary, a simple comparison of dose equivalent source terms is performed instead of performing a Monte-Carlo simulation as in [1]. The following table summarises the source terms for the two conditions, calculated after [2]:

Year	Momentum (GeV/c)	Source term [2] (Sv m ² proton ⁻¹)	Intensity	Source term (Sv m ²)
2002-2004	26	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{13}/14.4$ s	$1103/14.4$ s
2006	14	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{13}/1.2$ s	$390/1.2$ s

Personnel in TT2A would be exposed to a dose 3 times smaller per lost pulse than in the previous situation. Under worst-case conditions, the radiation monitor could interlock further extraction after 3 failed pulses at the latest. Additional shielding in TT2 does not seem mandatory under these circumstances.

Thomas Otto

[1] M. Silari, H. Vincke, TIS-RP/TN/2002-018, EDMS No. 341 746

[2] A. H. Sullivan, *A Guide to Radiation and Radioactivity Levels near High-Energy particle Accelerators*, Nuclear Technology Publishing (1992)