

### **Paul Scherrer Institut**



### Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

### **Daniela Kiselev**

P. Baumann, M. Gandel, Y.J. Lee, D. Schumann,

A. Strinning (PSI)

A. Konobeyev (KIT)

Examination of a copper collimator irradiated in the 590 MeV proton beam line at PSI



- Location, purpose and history of collimator KHE2
- DPA calculation with MCNPX, dcs (A. Konobeyev), MARS
- Inspection of KHE2
- Measured and calculated (residual) dose rate
- Photos from the inspection
- Calculation of the activation for 2 samples (comparison to measurement)
- Conclusion and outlook

# Proton beam line from Target E to KHE2&3



PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT



### **KHE2:** Overview

Operation: from 1990 up to now Current integral onto Target E: 1990-2009: 120.5 Ah absorbed: ~ 12%

#### KHE2: 1990 before installation









w(E<sub>R</sub>): recoil spectrum

needs nuclear reaction models

- x: thickness of the sample
- $N_V$ : atomic density (atoms/cm<sup>3</sup>)

### some remarks on uncertainties:

- $E_D$  in Cu: 18 43 eV, choice: 30 eV (for MCNPX, dcs)
- $\kappa$  = 0.8: for hard sphere model (Rutherford formula) compensates for the forward scattering

damage function (no. of displaced atoms):

 $= \frac{\kappa T_{dam}}{2E_D}$  modified Kinchin-Pease m. = NRT model (Norgett RobinsonTorrens)

 $T_{dam}$  : damage energy displacement efficiency  $\kappa = 0.8$ 



# **DPA** calculation

$$DPA = \eta \int \sigma_{disp}(E) \frac{d\phi(E)}{dE} dE$$

 $\phi(E)$ : fluence (particles/cm²)  $\sigma_{\text{disp}}$ : displacement cross section

Methods and codes:

- Displacement cross section (dcs) from A. Konobeyev (Sept. 2010) evaluated from several reaction c.s. codes, accounting for defect efficiency η, η=1 for NRT
- MCNPX2.5.0: CEM model and ENDF/B-VI (for n, < 20MeV) for protons:
  - displacement cross section for E = 590 MeV only

1

1

 $\rightarrow$  o.k. for 1. section of KHE2 (energy loss in 5 cm Cu: ~120 MeV) for neutrons:

built-in damage cross sections (Monroe Wechsler and Marvin Barnett) as flux multipliers

- MARS15 (2010): see N. Mokhov talk
  - energy dependent displacement efficiency:  $\kappa(E_R)$ , in NRT:  $\kappa = 0.8$  $\kappa(0.1 \text{keV}) = 1.4$  to  $\kappa(100 \text{keV}) = 0.3$
  - Coulomb interaction, formfactors, photons, muons, electrons
  - nice feature of 2-dim. plots showing distributions of DPA (+other quantities)

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

# Displacement cross sections (dcs)



- E > 150 MeV: average of Bertini, Isabel, INCL4
- 20 < E < 150 MeV: CEM, DISCA code
- E < 20 MeV: ENDF/B-VII (neutrons)</li>
  elastic c.s.: optical model + screened Coulomb potential (protons)
- E < 28 keV: Molecular Dynamics (MD)
- E > 28 keV: Binary Collision Approximation (BCA)
- → number of stable defects obtained with the IOTA code (ion-ion collisions)

see U. Fischer's talk

for details



1. Comparison of the dcs for 590 MeV p

MCNPX2.5.0 + CEM,A. Konobeyev:6227 barn4764 barn $\rightarrow$  fair agreement: 30 % deviation

- 2. Comparison of DPA:
  - (Old) Experiment at Pirex (PSI): 590 MeV protons on 0.3 mm Cu
    1 DPA = 1.5 10<sup>20</sup> protons/cm<sup>2</sup> (LAHET calculation)
    (Singh,Horsewell, ASTM STP 1175, (1993), 1003)
  - Calculation: MCNPX2.5.0 + CEM model
    0.93 DPA due to protons
    0.02 DPA due to neutrons
    - $\rightarrow$  very good agreement!





Daniela Kiselev, 4th High Power Targetry Workshop, 2.5.-6.5.2011, Malmö, Sweden

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT



- no saturation up to 100 dpa
- data are for neutrons only (thermal and fast reactors)

### $\rightarrow$ Not much is known for high energetic protons

### 1) Operation reliability:

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

- no replacement at hand: would take ~3/4 year
- after 20 years of operation: end of life time? radiation damage?
- for reactor neutrons (therm. and fast)
  visible swelling would be expected inside (40 % for 80 DPA)
- change of mechanical properties: cracks, embrittlement and physical properties: therm. conductivity → important
- high therm. load: ~150 kW at 2 mA, up to 380 °C
  - $\rightarrow$  assumed that the therm. conductivity did not changed
- 2) New collimator of similar design planned for 3 mA operation: KHE2 is limited to 2.5 mA ← → ½ melting temperature (405 °C) question: OFHC-Cu or Glidcop?
- There is a remaining risk of failure after removal and reinstallation
- $\rightarrow$  good preparation, precautions and safety procedures necessary



# Examination with the inspection tool





#### • purpose of the calculation:

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

- planning of the shielding needed for camera in inspection tool
- shielding during transport sufficient
- calculated with MCNPX + Cinder'90, later measured in the hotcell (ATEC)





# Results: colli2 inside

• vertical: 80 - 100 °C (2mA)

main damage at exit

- → low energetic particles produce more damage
- some pieces (1-2 mm height) seems to peel off
- grey surface
  - $\rightarrow$  guess: errosion + dirt



entry



sample No 1

exit



- horizontal: 350 400 °C (2 mA)
- main damage at entry  $\rightarrow$  higher temperature
- large grey pieces peeling off  $\rightarrow$  dirt?
- seems to reveal grain boundaries
- → might be grown due to temperature and irradiation
- $\rightarrow$  no swelling or deformation at slits





slits completely intact !







exit



# Results: colli2 inside

Most astonishing: no damage of the surface between vertical & horizontal,

Possible explanation: thermal expansion/movement close to the slits, highest stress

Measurement of the horizontal opening via 2 laser distance meters: result: very close to original values accuracy: 0.5 mm → no swelling observed

example:  $\Delta V/V=0.5\%/DPA$ V=10x10x10mm<sup>3</sup>, 80 DPA  $\rightarrow \Delta I = 2 \times 1.2 \text{ mm}$ 





# Results: colli2 outside

#### front:

- aperture in very good shape
- one can see beam profile

#### back:

more damage at top & bottom



some black pieces peel off → "golden" surface below sample No 2





Measurement: (RadWaste group at PSI) γ-spectroscopy via HPG-detector: Be-7 Na-22 Sc-46 Mn-54 Co-56 Co-57 Co-58 Fe-59 Co-60 Zn-65 Ag-110m

#### **Calculation:**

- MCNPX2.5.0 using Bertini-Dresner-RAL
- decay + buildup prg: Cinder'90
- irradiation time: 1990-2009
- cooling time: 6 months

Assumption: pure copper (+17 ppm Ag, 3 ppm O<sub>2</sub>)

Comparison: Problem: weight of sample not known (very small, fragile)  $\rightarrow$  normalization on the Zn-65 activity



Ratio of measured and calculated activity



- good agreement for Sc-46 bis Co-60: directly produced from Cu
- large deviation for
- Ag110m: → silver brazing solder: 68 % Ag, 27 % Cu, 5 % Pd
- Be-7: only for sample 1 (inside), probably from Target E (graphite)
  → grey layer inside
- Na-22: from surroundings?

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT



- Successfull inspection and reinstallation
- $\rightarrow$  surprisingly, no visible serious damage
- DPA high inside: 80 (MCNPX, dsc) –170 (MARS)

#### not yet known :

mechanical stability and therm. conductivity planned in SD 2012:

- -sample taking at colli2 for electr. resistivity, therm. conductivity and tensile measurement
- almost identically is under construction new: sample sheets (shown in yellow)





Sample in SINQ-Target (STIPVI): 2011-2012 filled with Cu und Glidcop + 1 thermocouple





# Acknowledgement

- staff of the hot cell ATEC:
- A. Hagel, H.Orlick, K. Mühlberg
- P. Dupperrex for tests with the movable laser beam
- radioprotection group and hall service
- mechanical workshop West
- Radwaste group of D. Schumann
- Mike Seidel for the support









distance [cm]<sub>Daniela</sub> Kiselev, 4th High Power Targetry Workshop, 2.5.-6.5.2011, Malmö, Sweden

Inspection tool in the hot cell (fully remote controlled)



# Radiological safety measured during the removal

### Radiological control:

- dose measured at several locations
- Tritium monitor
- Contamination protection, air is sucked in
- dose rate at surface of the exchange flask: max. 1 mSv/h



#### dosimeter: up to 45 Sv/h measured





# Preparation at the hotcell ATEC and tests







#### Against contamination:

all wrapped into plastic foil: closed box with camera and laser meters, signal- and control cable in

### Against damage: guiding tube in front of collimator









PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT