The R&D Program for Targetry and Capture at a Neutrino Factory/Muon-Collider Source



Spokesperson: Kirk T. McDonald

Princeton U. Targetry Group Meeting BNL, January 24, 2000

http://puhep1.princeton.edu/mumu/target/

The Opportunity of a Neutrino Factory

- The next generation of neutrino experiments will firm up present indications of couplings of pairs of neutrinos – but will not explore simultaneous effects of 3 neutrinos.
- Many of the neutrino oscillation solutions permit complete study of the couplings between 3 (4?) neutrinos at a neutrino factory.
- But, > $10^{21} \nu$'s/year are needed for this!
- A neutrino factory is a path to a muon collider.

However, there are at present too many explanations of neutrino oscillation data to define an optimal parameter set for a neutrino factory: energy, distance to remote detectors....

It will take several years for the physics to be clarified enough to make a wise choice of parameters for an initial neutrino factory.

These facts afford both an opportunity and a need for an ambitious R&D program.

We Need a High Performance Source

- We need lots of protons: several megawatts desired, perhaps only 1 MW initially.
- We need to maximize the yield of ν 's, and hence μ 's per proton.
- For advanced neutrino studies (ν_e in final state), and for a muon collider, we desire controlled muon polarization.
- High yield seems best accomplished in a solenoidal capture system with a dense target and little support structure.
- Solid targets extremely marginal in multimegawatt beams with 10⁸ cycles/year.
- A "disposable" target may be preferable; use once and throw away.
- \Rightarrow Mercury jet target.
- Maximal capture + polarization control
 ⇒ High-gradient, low-frequency rf close to target.

The Baseline Targetry/Capture Scenario



Choices:

- Liquid or solid target?
- Phase rotation or drift after target?

High performance neutrino factory and muon collider favor the first choices.

May be expedient to start with the second choices.

Two Classes of Issues

- 1. Viability of targetry and capture for a single pulse.
 - Effect of pressure wave induced in target by the proton pulse.
 - Interaction of a moving metal target with the solenoid field.
 - Operation of the first rf cavity in a magnetic field and in large particle flux.
- Long-term viability of the system in a high radiation area.
 [Issues for solid target & magnet coils are of this type.]

The most novel issues (1) are addressable in studies with low rep. rate but a large number of protons/pulse (up to $10^{14} ppp$ in BNL E951).

Long-term issues, including solid targets, may require study in a high-rep.-rate, high-average-power beam (Los Alamos Spallation Radiation Damage Facility, 0.8 MW, 20 Hz; a DOE Category 3 Nuclear Facility).

R&D Goals

1. Single pulse studies (BNL E951).

Overall: Test key components of the front-end of a neutrino factory in realistic single-pulse beam conditions.

Near Term (1-2 years): Explore viability of a liquid metal jet target in intense, short proton pulses and (separately) in strong magnetic fields.

(Change target technology if encounter severe difficulties.)
Mid Term (3-4 years): Add 20-T magnet to beam tests;
Test 70-MHz rf cavity (+ 1.25-T magnet) 3 m from target;
Characterize pion yield.



2. Long Term Survivability

Define needed R&D program during 2nd half of FY00.

Example: survival of a carbon target:

- Cylindrical geometry focuses reflected pressure wave to very high values on axis, even for diffuse energy deposition.
- 10-100 J/gm/pulse, > 10^8 pulse/year, \Rightarrow > 10^5 eV/atom/yr.
- \Rightarrow Every interatomic bond broken $\gtrsim 10^3$ times/year.
- 4 MW $\Rightarrow 10^{22} p/year \approx 30 dpa/year.$
- Graphite lifetime is about 10 dpa.

90% of beam energy deposited in the liner of the superconducting magnets.

Is a solid liner viable; should the beam hit a mercury pool?

Are the superconducting coils viable? (Peter Wanderer,

Al Zeller)

We must operate a high-radiation facility. (Michael Todosow, Phil Spampinato)

The 8 Steps in the E951 R&D Program

- 1. Simple tests of liquid (Ga-Sn, Hg) and solid (Ni) targets with AGS Fast Extracted Beam (FEB).
- 2. Test of liquid jet entering a 20-T magnet (20-MW cw Bitter magnet at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory).
- 3. Test of liquid jet with 10^{14} ppp via full turn FEB (without magnet).
- 4. Add 20-T pulsed magnet (4-MW peak) to liquid jet test with AGS FEB.
- 5. Add 70-MHz rf cavity downstream of target in FEB.
- 6. Surround rf cavity with 1.25-T magnet. At this step we have all essential features of the source.
- 7. Characterize pion yield from target + magnet system with slow extracted beam (SEB).
- 8. Ongoing simulation of the thermal hydraulics of the liquidmetal target system.

E951 Schedule

• FY99:

Prepare A3 area;

Begin work on liquid jets, magnet systems, and rf systems.

• FY00:

Complete A3 line;

Continue work on magnet and rf systems;

Begin work on extraction upgrade.

• FY01:

First test of targets in A3;

Liquid jet test in 20-T magnet at NHMFL;

Continue work on extraction magnet and rf systems.

(600 hours).

• FY02:

Complete extraction upgrade, magnet and rf systems;

Test targets with $10^{14} p_{\text{Ppp}}$;

Begin work on pion yield diagnostics;

Option to study mercury dump in vertically pitched beam. (600 hours).

• FY03:

Beams tests of target + 20-T pulsed magnet + rf cavity; Complete pion detectors; test yield with low intensity SEB. (1800 hours).

Issues, 1: Initial Tests with FEB

• A3 line now being prepared for E951 (Joe Scaduto).



• Beamline upgrades needed to bring a 100 mm-mrad beam to a spot with $\sigma_r = 1$ mm? (Kevin Brown)



Option for vertically pitched beam.

- Beamline instrumentation upgrades: spot size, beam current, FEB radiation monitoring.
- Run first tests parasitic to g 2 expt. in Oct/Nov 2000.
- Data taking via pulse-on-demand once every few minutes; but desire 1-Hz running for beam tuning.
- Shielding needed for 1-Hz running with 10¹⁴ ppp = 100 TP (Ripp Bowman, Ralf Prigl).
- First test: liquid metal in a trough, a pipe and in free flow (Princeton).



CAMERA VIEW

AND TROUGH ARE TIELED WITH MERCOR

• Instrumentation: high-speed camera,

fiberoptic strain sensors (Duncan Earl, ORNL).



Issues, 2: Pulsed Liquid Jet

• Inspiration:



• Hg jet under construction at CERN (Colin Johnson, Helge Ravn), and at Princeton.



• Solid target options: C (NUMI), W (LANSCE), Cu bands (King, Drumm).

Issues, 3: Full Turn Extraction

- G10 kicker can deliver beam to A-C lines as well as to U line.
- Present power supply sufficient to kick out only 1 bunch.
- Upgrade to kick out all 6 bunches requires ≈ 18 months.
- Initiate design work in FY00 to complete upgrade in early FY02.





Issues, 4: Pulsed 20-T Magnet

• The copper magnet will be cooled by LN_2 , and can be pulsed once every 10 minutes. Pulse duration ≈ 1 s. (Bob Weggel)



- 5 MW (peak) power from a new power supply (Jon Sandberg).
- 100 liters of LN_2 boiled off each pulse; vent outside of cave.
- For a neutrino factory source, need 20-T hybrid superconducting magnet (John Miller).

Issues, 5: 70-MHz RF Cavity

- Cavity has 60-cm-diameter iris, 2-m outer diameter. (Jim Rose)
- 4-6 MW peak power to be supplied by four 8973 tubes recommissioned from the LBL Hilac.

(John Corlett, Don Howard, LBL)



We are also embarking on an R&D program with industry to develop a 50-MW peak power, 70-MHz power supply (EEV, Eimac, Litton, Thomson).

Issues, 6: 1.25-T Solenoid Inside RF Cavity

• 1.25-T coils in cavity "nose pieces" do not produce momentum stop bands (Harold Kirk).



- Superconducting coils can be built in this geometry. (Mike Green)
- May use copper coils in E951 (Bob Weggel).
- The PEP-4 TPC superconducting magnet coil, previously proposed, interacts badly with iron in the A3 cave. (Steve Kahn, Changguo Lu)

ANSYS Simulation of PEP-4 Coil in A3 Cave



Issues, 7: Characterization of Pion Yield

- The final measure of system performance is the capture of soft pions that later decay to muons.
- Add bent solenoid spectrometer downstream of TPC magnet.
- Instrument with low-pressure TPC's and aerogel Cerenkov counters.
- Collect data with slow beam, $< 10^6 ppp$.
- Compare with extrapolations from data of E-910.



Issues, 8: Simulation of Beam-Jet-Magnet

- ANSYS 5.4 MAY 11 1999 deform<u>ed</u> cylinder 18:01:36 NODAL SOLUTION STEP=1 SUB =1 TIME=1 (AVG) USUM RSYS=0 PowerGraphics EFACET=1 AVRES=Mat DMX =.014846 SMX =.014846 0 .00165 .003299 004949 .006598 .008248 011547 .013196 .014846 undeformeed cylinder solenoid coil STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS
- ANSYS simulation (Changguo Lu):

• HEIGHTS simulation (Ahmed Hassanein):

Mercury Jet with 4 mm Beam and B-field Diffused in



• Other simulations: Steve Kahn, Yasuo Fukui, Roman Samulyak.