

The R&D Program for a 4 MW Target Station for a Neutrino Factory and Muon Collider Source







K.T. McDonald Princeton U. MUTAC Review FNAL, Jan. 15, 2003 http://puhep1.princeton.edu/mumu/target/



Why Targetry?

- **Targetry** = the task of producing and capturing π 's and μ 's from proton interactions with a nuclear target.
- At a **muon collider** the key parameter is **luminosity**:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{N_1 N_2 f}{A} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{cm}^{-2},$$

 \Rightarrow Gain as square of source strength (targetry), but small beam area (cooling) is also critical.

- At a neutrino factory the key parameter is neutrino flux,
 ⇒ Source strength (targetry) is of pre-eminent concern.
 [Beam cooling important mainly to be sure the beam fits in the pipe.]
- Since its inception the Neutrino Factory/Muon Collider Collaboration has recognized the importance of high performance targetry, and has dedicated considerable resources towards R&D on advanced targetry concepts.
- The exciting results from atmospheric and reactor neutrino programs (Super-K, SNO, KamLAND) reinforce the opportunity for neutrino physics with intense accelerator neutrino beams, where **targetry is the major challenge**.

KIRK T. MCDONALD



Challenges

- Maximal production of soft pions \rightarrow muons in a megawatt proton beam.
- Capture pions in a 20-T solenoid, followed by a 1.25-T decay channel (with beam and target tilted by 100 mrad w.r.t. magnetic axis).



- A carbon target is feasible for 1.5-MW proton beam power.
- For $E_p \gtrsim 16$ GeV, factor of 2 advantage with high-Z target.
- Static high-Z target would melt, \Rightarrow Moving target.
- A free mercury jet target is feasible for beam power of 4 MW (and more).



Why Targetry R&D?

- More π 's, μ 's and ν 's are needed to expand the frontiers of high energy physics.
- Proton drivers are foreseen with beam power up to 4 MW,
 > 10 times that of present HEP drivers.
- It appears most cost effective to maximize yield at the source (confirmed by Neutrino Factory Feasibility Studies 1 and 2).
- At 4-MW beam power, targets must survive intense heating, intense mechanical shock, and severe radiation damage.
- A disposable (moving) target suggests itself.
- For beam energy above ≈ 6 GeV, yield is enhanced for a high-Z target, \Rightarrow Liquid metal target: mercury, Pb-Bi, ...
- Secondary particle yield peaks at low momentum,
 ⇒ Capture in tapered high-field solenoid magnet.
- Although "feasible", these target concepts are beyond the state of the art.



Example 1: The SNS Target

• The SNS CDR baseline target is flowing mercury in a stainless-steel jacket.



- No R&D was done on this concept prior to project approval.
- Beam-induced cavitation in the stainless-steel entrance window was recently confirmed as a serious problem.



TL - High Power Target Specimen # 29754 Equivalent SNS Power Level = 2.5

• Recently revised target design believed to be able to survive 2 weeks at 1-MW beam power (60 Hz).



Example 2: The CERN SPL Neutrino Horn

• A proposed SPL neutrino horn surrounds a mercury jet target that intercepts a 4-MW, 2-GeV proton beam at 50 Hz.



- R&D at CERN on electromechanical effects of pulsing this horn ended \approx one year ago.
- The extremely serious issue of radiation damage degradation of the horn integrity has yet to be studied.
- Without further R&D, use of this design in a production facility would be very risky. KIRK T. MCDONALD MUTAC REVIEW, JAN. 15, 2003



Example 3: The NLC Positron Production Target

• SLC running was terminated by a failure of the (rotating wheel) positron target.

A single solid target is not viable for the NLC positron source. Present baseline design uses multiple targets/beamlines.



- A single mercury "waterfall" could be a suitable target - but is not considered due to lack of prior experience.
- Alternative: Use 150-GeV e's + 100-m-long helical undulator to produce 10-MeV γ 's, which are converted to 60%-polarized positrons.



• R&D Experiment: SLAC E-166 being proposed.



Example 4: Next-Generation Neutrino Production Targets

- Higher flux neutrino beams are now under consideration at BNL, FNAL (NUMI) and JHF (J-PARC).
- Present visions for these by the various target groups all plan on passive solid targets.
- All 3 target groups say that these designs will be limited to 1-1.5 MW proton beam power, and that it is not known how to go beyond this.
- \Rightarrow R&D on 2-4-MW targetry scenarios needed prior to, and outside of, specific accelerator projects.



The Neutrino Horn Issue

- A precursor to a Neutrino Factory is a Neutrino Superbeam based on decay of pions from a multimegawatt proton target station.
- 4 MW proton beams are achieved in both the BNL and FNAL (and CERN) scenarios via high rep rates: $\approx 10^6$ /day.
- Classic neutrino horns based on high currents in conductors that intercept much of the secondary pions will have lifetimes of only a few days in this environment.
- Consider instead a solenoid horn with conductors at larger radii than the pions of interest – similar to the Neutrino Factory capture solenoid.
- Adiabatic reduction of the solenoid field along the axis,
 ⇒ Adiabatic reduction of pion transverse momentum,
 ⇒ Focusing.

See, http://pubweb.bnl.gov/users/kahn/www/talks/Homestake.pdf



BNL E951 Studies the Single Pulse Issues

Overall Goal: Test key components of the front-end of a neutrino factory in realistic single-pulse beam conditions.

Near Term (1-2 years): Explore viability of a liquid metal jet target in intense, short proton pulses and (separately) in strong magnetic fields.

Mid Term (3-4 years): Add 20-T magnet to beam tests; Test 70-MHz rf cavity (+ 1.25-T magnet) 3 m from target; Characterize pion yield.



We are now beginning the "Mid Term" phase, but with a more affordable 15-T magnet.



The BNL E951 Collaboration

Audrey Bernadon,^d David Brashears,ⁱ Kevin Brown,^b Daniel Carminati,^d Michael Cates,ⁱ John Corlett,^g F Debray,^f Adrian Fabich,^d Richard C. Fernow,^b Charles Finfrock,^b Yasuo Fukui,^c Tony A. Gabriel,ⁱ Juan C. Gallardo,^b Michael A. Green,^g George A. Greene,^b John R. Haines,ⁱ Jerry Hastings,^b Ahmed Hassanein,^a Michael Iarocci,^b Colin Johnson,^d Stephen A. Kahn,^b Bruce J. King,^b Harold G. Kirk,^{b,1} Jacques Lettry,^d Vincent LoDestro,^b Changguo Lu,^j Ioannis Marneris,^b Kirk T. McDonald,^{j,2} Nikolai V. Mokhov,^e Alfred Moretti,^e James H. Norem,^a Robert B. Palmer,^b Ralf Prigl,^b Helge Ravn,^d Bernard Riemer,ⁱ James Rose,^b Thomas Roser,^b Roman Samulyak,^b Joseph Scaduto,^b Peter Sievers,^d Nicholas Simos,^b Philip Spampinato,ⁱ Iuliu Stumer,^b Peter Thieberger,^b James Tsai,ⁱ Thomas Tsang,^b Haipeng Wang,^b Robert Weggel,^b Albert F. Zeller,^h Yongxiang Zhao^b

^aArgonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439
^bBrookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973
^cUniversity of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095
^dCERN, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland
^eFermi National Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510
^fGrenoble High Magnetic Field Laboratory, 38042 Grenoble, france
^gLawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720
^hMichigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824
ⁱOak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
^jPrinceton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

Submitted to BNL, Sept. 1998; approved Oct. 1999.

¹Project Manager. Email: kirk@electron.cap.bnl.gov ²Spokesperson. Email: mcdonald@puphep.princeton.edu KIRK T. McDonald MUTAC REVIEW, JAN. 15, 2003



Summary of Targetry Activities To Date

- Liquid metal targets in vessels show beam-induced cavitation damage to entrance window (ISOLDE, 1995, LANL, 2001).
- Beam tests of large passive mercury target for SNS (BNL 1998, LANL 2000) suggest velocity of sound may be reduced temporarily by beam-induced microcavitation).
- MARS simulations of beam-target interactions \Rightarrow advantage of high-Z target, of high-field capture solenoid, of tilted beam and target, and disadvantages of high radiation dose (Mokhov).
- Target systems issues (hybrid-magnet design, beam dump, activation, radiation damage, shielding, remote handling, ...) addressed during Neutrino Factory Studies 1 & 2 (Millier, Pearson, Spampinato, Weggel).
- Analytic simulations of beam-induced pressure waves in target (Sievers), and of MHD effects of mercury jet entering magnet (KTM, Palmer, Weggel) indicate "feasibility", but need for R&D.
- Numerical simulations (Hassanein, Samulyak) tend to confirm these analytic estimates.



Targetry Activities, cont'd; BNL E951

- Beam tests of high-strength solid targets show good agreement between strain-sensor data and ANSYS simulation, and suggest that they can survive single-pulse stresses up to Study-2 design intensity, = 16 TP / 8 mm² (BNL, March '01).
- Calculation and experiment indicate that a carbon target could survive against sublimation in a He atmosphere in a 4 MW beam (Haines, Thieberger).
- Beam tests of active and passive mercury targets indicate dispersal velocities of manageable size, proportional to proton pulse energy (BNL, April '01; ISOLDE, Aug. '01).
- Tests of mercury jets entering a high-field solenoid suggest little problem if nozzle within field (CERN, Grenoble, 2002).
- Superinvar samples irradiated in BLIP facility to study effect of radiation damage on the very low thermal expansion coef.
- Engineering design essentially complete for a 15-T pulsed solenoid magnet (+ GHe/LN2 cryo system + power supply) to test combined effects of beam + magnetic field on a mercury jet.



Pion/Muon Yield

For $E_p \gtrsim 10$ GeV, more yield with high-Z target.



Mercury target radius should be ≈ 5 mm, with target axis tilted by ≈ 100 mrad to the magnetic axis.



Can capture ≈ 0.3 pion per proton with $50 < P_{\pi} < 400 \text{ MeV}/c$.

KIRK T. MCDONALD



Target System Layout

Mercury jet target inside a magnetic bottle: 20-T around target, dropping to 1.25 T in the pion decay channel.



Mercury jet tilted by 100 mrad, proton beam by 67 mrad.





20-T Capture Magnet System

Inner, hollow-conductor copper coils generate 6 T @ 12 MW:





Bitter-coil option less costly, but marginally feasible.

Outer, superconducting coils generate 14 T @ 600 MJ:



Cable-in-conduit construction similar to ITER central solenoid.

Both coils shielded by tungsten-carbide/water.



Target System Support Facility

Extensive shielding; remote handling capability.







Lifetime of Components in the High Radiation Environment



| Component | Radius | Dose/yr | Max allowed Dose | 1 MW Life | 4 MW life |
|------------------|--------|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (cm) | $(\text{Grays}/2 \times 10^7 \text{ s})$ | (Grays) | (years) | (years) |
| Inner shielding | 7.5 | 5×10^{10} | 10^{12} | 20 | 5 |
| Hg containment | 18 | 10^{9} | 10^{11} | 100 | 25 |
| Hollow conductor | 18 | 10^{9} | 10^{11} | 100 | 25 |
| coil | | | | | |
| Superconducting | 65 | 5×10^6 | 10^{8} | 20 | 5 |
| coil | | | | | |

Some components must be replaceable.

KIRK T. McDonald



Viability of Targetry and Capture For a Single Pulse

• Beam energy deposition may disperse the jet.



• Eddy currents may distort the jet as it traverses the magnet.



• Computational challenge: to include negative pressure and cavitation in a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulation of a liquid metal with a free surface.

KIRK T. MCDONALD

MUTAC REVIEW, JAN. 15, 2003



Solid Target Tests (5e12 ppp, 24 GeV, 100 ns)

Carbon, aluminum, Ti90Al6V4, Inconel 708, Havar, instrumented

with fiberoptic strain sensors.







0

4

micro-secs

-40

-8

8

12

16

20



Passive Mercury Target Tests



Two pulses of ≈ 250 ns give larger dispersal velocity only if separated by less than 3 μ s.





Studies of Proton Beam + Mercury Jet



1-cm-diameter Hg jet in 2e12 protons at t = 0, 0.75, 2, 7, 18 ms.



Model: $v_{\text{dispersal}} = \frac{\Delta r}{\Delta t} = \frac{r\alpha\Delta T}{r/v_{\text{sound}}} = \frac{\alpha U}{C} v_{\text{sound}} \approx 50 \text{ m/s}$

for $U \approx 100 \text{ J/g.}$

Data: $v_{\text{dispersal}} \approx 10 \text{ m/s}$ for $U \approx 25 \text{ J/g}$.

 $v_{\text{dispersal}}$ appears to scale with proton intensity.

The dispersal is not destructive.

Filaments appear only $\approx 40 \ \mu s$ after beam, \Rightarrow after several bouncesof waves, or v_{sound} very low.KIRK T. MCDONALDMUTAC REVIEW, JAN. 15, 200322





AGS Proton Pulse Intensity

Mercury jets tests done with 4-5e12 protons in spot $0.7 \times 2.0 \text{ mm}^2$.

This is 1/4 no. of protons/bunch desired for a neutrino factory, but same no. of protons/mm².

Goal: Test mercury jet in 1.6e13 protons/pulse, where increased beam energy may lead to boiling (in addition to dispersal via pressure waves).





Improvements underway to AGS horizontal sextupoles (better control of bunches during transition), and vertical sextupole (used in fast extraction.

Preliminary test of rf bunch merging at 24 GeV yielded bunches of 1e13 protons.





Tests of a Mercury Jet in a 20-T Magnetic Field (CERN/Grenoble, A. Fabich, Ph.D. Thesis)

Eddy currents may distort the jet as it traverses the magnet.

Analytic model suggests little effect if jet nozzle inside field.

4 mm diam. jet, $v \approx 12$ m/s, B = 0, 10, 20 T.



 \Rightarrow Damping of surface tension waves (Rayleigh instability).

Will the beam-induced dispersal be damped also?

KIRK T. MCDONALD

MUTAC REVIEW, JAN. 15, 2003



Issues for Further Targetry R&D

- Continue numerical simulations of MHD + beam-induced effects [Samulyak].
- Continue tests of mercury jet entering magnet [CERN, Grenoble but funding exhausted].
- For solid targets, study radiation damage and issues of heat removal from solid metal targets (bands, chains, *etc.*).
- Confirm manageable mercury-jet dispersal in beams up to full Study-2 intensity – for which single-pulse vaporization may also occur. Test Pb-Bi alloy jet.
- Study issues when combine intense proton beam with mercury jet inside a high-field magnet.
 - 1. MHD effects in a **prototype target configuration**.
 - 2. Magnetic damping of mercury-jet dispersal.
 - 3. Beam-induced damage to jet nozzle in the magnetic field.

This study requires significant funding, and will be the focus of the remainder of this presentation.



What Magnetic Field Strength is Appropriate?

• Our muon collider and neutrino factory designs have long called for a 20-T capture solenoid.



A 20-T magnet must be a hybrid: 6-T copper "insert" + 14-T superconducting "outsert".

The small gain in performance from 14 to 20 T may not warrant the cost and complexity of the hybrid magnet.

A capture solenoid for a superbeam needs a larger bore to trap higher P_{\perp} pions, for which 14 T is then sufficient.

 \Rightarrow Our physics goals are well satisfied by a 14-T capture solenoid.



Should the Pulsed R&D Magnet have Lower Field?

- Most magnetic-field effects on the mercury jet scale as the magnetic pressure $B^2/8\pi$ (for a fixed geometry).
- Thus, a study using a 5-T magnet would require a factor of 8 extrapolation to the desired performance at 14 T.
- Present cost estimates indicate that we can build a 15-T pulsed magnet for about twice the cost of a 5-T pulsed magnet.
- \Rightarrow We propose to construct a 15-T pulsed magnet, that can be staged as a 5-T and 10-T magnet.





Keeping Costs Low

- Simple solenoid geometry with rectangular coil cross section and smooth bore (of 20 cm diameter) [Weggel, Titus].
- Cryogenic system reduces coil resistance to give high field at relatively low current [Iarocci, Mulholland].
 - Circulating coolant is gaseous He to minimize activation, and to avoid need to purge coolant before pulsing magnet.
 - Heat exchanger recycled from the SSC.
 - Phase 1, 2, 3a cooling via N_2 boiloff; Phase 3 via H_2 .

| Phase | Field | Power | Coolant | Temp. | |
|-------|-------|--------|---------|-------|--|
| 1 | 5 T | 0.6 MW | N_2 | 84 K | |
| 2 | 10 T | 2.2 MW | N_2 | 74 K | |
| 3 | 15 T | 2.2 MW | H_2 | 30 K | |
| 3a | 15 T | 4.5 MW | N_2 | 70 K | |

- Can build a 2.2-MW power supply out of 4 existing 540-kVA supplies at BNL [Marneris].
- But if don't run at BNL, better to use a new 4.5-MW supply: conventional or batteries.

KIRK T. MCDONALD



Pulsed Magnet System Layout at the AGS



- Locate the 4 x 540 kVA power supplies on the east side of the A3 cave, feed power in via the trench.
- If satisfactory to Safety Committee, locate the heat exchanger and LH₂ dewar in a concrete enclosure close to the present A3 beam stop.
- Alternative: Use only LN2 to cool the GHe in the heat exchanger, \Rightarrow Need 4.5 MW power supply to reach 15 T.



Alternatives to AGS Running

DOE HEP support of AGS running was zeroed out for FY03, and may not be restored.

| Parameter | Muon | BNL | FNAL | CERN | LANSCE | KEK | JHF | JHF |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Collider | AGS | Booster | \mathbf{PS} | PSR | MR | RCS | MR |
| Proton Energy (GeV) | 16-24 | 24 | 8.9 | 24 | 0.8 | 12 | 3 | 50 |
| p/bunch | $5 	imes 10^{13}$ | $1.6 	imes 10^{13}$ | $6 	imes 10^{10}$ | 4×10^{12} | 3×10^{13} | $7 	imes 10^{11}$ | 4×10^{13} | 4×10^{13} |
| No. of bunches | 2 | 6 | 84 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 8 |
| p/cycle | 1×10^{14} | 1×10^{14} | 5×10^{12} | 3×10^{13} | 3×10^{13} | 6×10^{12} | 8×10^{13} | 3×10^{14} |
| Bunch spacing (ns) | ≈ 1000 | 440 | 18.9 | 250 | _ | 140 | 600 | 600 |
| Bunch train length (μs) | ≈ 1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.25 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 4.2 |
| RMS Bunch length (ns) | ≈ 1 | ≈ 10 | ≈ 1 | ≈ 10 | ≈ 60 | ≈ 10 | ≈ 10 | ≈ 10 |

The JHF (now J-PARC) 50-GeV proton beam is well suited for high power targetry studies.

J-PARC has strong interest in a 4-MW source for a neutrino superbeam/factory.

Prospects for collaboration are excellent; Email of Intent submitted 10 Jan 2003 [Kuno, Yoshimura].

 \Rightarrow Timely to start fabrication of pulsed magnet coils (then cryo system and power supply), despite uncertainly as to AGS schedule.